The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication in a law journal and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte THOMAS M. BEHR and DAVID M. GOLDENBERG

Application 09/527,919

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

MAILED

JUL **2 9** 2004

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Board of Patent Appeals and interferences

Before HARKCOM, Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge, and WILLIAM F. SMITH and GRIMES, Administrative Patent Judges.

Per curiam.

On January 14, 2003, appellants filed a Notice of Appeal. On July 19, 2004, appellants filed a communication withdrawing the appeal, waiving the hearing, and expressly abandoning this application in favor of a continuation application filed July 15, 2004.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the appeal filed January 14, 2003, is <u>dismissed</u>.

Appeal No. 2004-0972 Application 09/200,791

The application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

Gary V. Harkcom, Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge

Administrative Patent Judge

Administrative Patent Judge

APPEAL

BOARD OF PATENT

INTERFERENCES

Popovich, Wiles & O'Connell 650 Third Avenue South, Suite 600 Minneapolis, MN 55402

dem